

UPPER MARLBORO, MD 20772

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/617,036	07/11/2003	Gabi Ben-Ami	3015/1	3068	
7590 04/29/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
DR. MARK FRIEDMAN LTD.			CASTELLANO, STEPHEN J		
C/O BILL POL	KINGHORN				
DISCOVERY DISPATCH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
9003 FLORIN WAY			3727		

DATE MAILED: 04/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		50				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/617,036	BEN-AMI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen J. Castellano	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a religion. B reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ariod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 April 2005.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ ⁻						
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,5 and 10-19 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4 and 6-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	- d/ l di					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	id/or election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)						
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contain. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
The oath of declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form F10-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 		119(a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	•	polication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the						
application from the International Bu	•	J				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		·				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other: _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/617,036

Art Unit: 3727

Claims 1-19 are pending.

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I: Fig. 3 in the reply filed on April 4, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that independent claim 12 is directed to an unstable container a common feature. This is not found persuasive because the Fig. 3 specie is not directed to a package of receptacles having containers and a packaging arrangement.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Applicant chooses claims 1-11 has readable of the elected specie of Group I: Fig. 3.

Claim 3 directed to a crown cap, claim 5 directed to a glass bottle, claim 10 directed to an image disposed on the base and claim 11 directed to a handle have been withdrawn because they are directed to species other than the elected specie.

Claims 3, 5 and 10-19 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected specie, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on April 4, 2005.

Applicant has misunderstood the election of species requirement. Applicant has interpreted the election of Fig. 3 has an election of Fig. 1-4. The examiner did not provide species directed specifically to Fig. 1, 2 and 4 (variant implementations) because there were no claims mutually exclusively directed to these variant implementations. Therefore, Fig. 1, 2 and 4 are separate from Fig. 3 and will not be considered together with Fig. 3.

An action on the merits follows for claims 1, 2, 4 and 6-9

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/617,036

Art Unit: 3727

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mawhirt et al. (Mawhirt).

Mawhirt discloses a test tube holder with test tubes as shown in Fig. 1, one of the test tubes is an unstable receptacle because the hemispherical, curved base end will not support the test tube in an upright manner without the assistance of the holder.

Claims 1, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Green.

Green discloses a gas washing bottle (10) made of glass which defines an unstable receptacle because its bottom narrows considerably such that the bottle's curved base end will not support the bottle in an upright manner without the assistance of a ring stand. The bottle is stoppered.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mawhirt.

Mawhirt discloses the invention except for the ring-pull closure and the metal container material. Official notice is taken that ring-pull closures and metal material are well known. It would have been obvious to apply a different closure to form a more reliable seal until opened. It would have been obvious to modify the material as metal is more durable than other materials

Application/Control Number: 10/617,036 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

which can break, such as glass.

Claims 2, 4, 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Green.

Green discloses the invention except for the ring-pull closure, the metal container material and the hemispherical shape. Official notice is taken that ring-pull closures and metal material are well known. It would have been obvious to apply a different closure to form a more reliable seal until opened. It would have been obvious to modify the material as metal is more durable than other materials which can break, such as glass. There is no criticality to a hemispherical shape as many different curves surfaces other than hemispheres can cause instability. It would have been obvious to change the shape of the base to hemispherical by design choice.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Castellano whose telephone number is 571-272-4535. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W. Young can be reached on 571-272-4549. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,036 Page 5

Art Unit: 3727

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen J. Castellano Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3727